


(Mobile library) Normative Plurality in International Law: A Theory of the Determination of Applicable Rules (Ius Gentium: Comparative Perspectives on Law and Justice)

## **Normative Plurality in International Law: A Theory of the Determination of Applicable Rules (Ius Gentium: Comparative Perspectives on Law and Justice)**

*Carlos Iván Fuentes*

*DOC | \*audiobook | ebooks | Download PDF | ePub*



DOWNLOAD



+

READ ONLINE

#644989 in Books Ingramcontent 2016-09-03Original language:EnglishPDF # 1 9.25 x .63 x 6.10l, .0 #File Name: 3319439278240 pagesNormative Plurality in International Law A Theory of the Determination of Applicable Rules Ius Gentium Comparative Perspectives on Law and Justice | File size: 68.Mb

**Carlos Iván Fuentes : Normative Plurality in International Law: A Theory of the Determination of Applicable Rules (Ius Gentium: Comparative Perspectives on Law and Justice)** before purchasing it in order to gage whether

or not it would be worth my time, and all praised *Normative Plurality in International Law: A Theory of the Determination of Applicable Rules (Ius Gentium: Comparative Perspectives on Law and Justice)*:

This book provides a theoretical framework for explaining the choices made by international decision-makers in terms of what constitutes law. It comprehensively analyzes the practice of human rights courts in applying legal instruments outside their competence and proposes that this practice recognizes that different normative instruments coexist in an un-ordered space, and that meaning can be produced by the free interaction of those instruments around a problem. Based on this, the book advances its normative plurality hypothesis, which states that decision-makers must survey the *acquis* of international law in order to identify all the instruments containing relevant normative information for a particular situation. The set of rules of law applicable to the situation must then be complemented with other instruments containing specific normative information relevant to the situation, resulting in a complete system of norms advancing a common purpose.

From the Back Cover This book provides a theoretical framework for explaining the choices made by international decision-makers in terms of what constitutes law. It comprehensively analyzes the practice of human rights courts in applying legal instruments outside their competence and proposes that this practice recognizes that different normative instruments coexist in an un-ordered space, and that meaning can be produced by the free interaction of those instruments around a problem. Based on this, the book advances its normative plurality hypothesis, which states that decision-makers must survey the *acquis* of international law in order to identify all the instruments containing relevant normative information for a particular situation. The set of rules of law applicable to the situation must then be complemented with other instruments containing specific normative information relevant to the situation, resulting in a complete system of norms advancing a common purpose.